ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET					
SUBJECT: (Optional) Invest Meetin	igative Stang of 26 Febr	dards W uary 19	Working Group 981		
FROM:		EXTENSION	NO. SECOM-D-040		
SECOM			6 March 1981		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)		
	RECEIVED FORWARDED	INITIALS			
Chairman, SECOM	MAR 10 MAR 10	*	STA		
2.					
3.			ė.		
4.	0				
5. DO/CA	10 marchs	RI	D/S FUT		
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

INVESTIGATIVE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP

SECOM-D-040

6 March 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Security Committee FROM: Chairman, Investigative Standards Working Group SUBJECT: Investigative Standards Working Group	STAT
Meeting of 26 February 1981	
	STAT
1. During the Working Group's review of DCID 1/14, a question arose concerning the status of Annex B (proposed Appeals Procedures). From the ensuing discussion, it became clear that the OSD Policy and Plans people are pushing hard for Army, Navy and Air Force to implement DoD Directive 5200.2F before Annex B is placed on the NFIB agenda. If they are successful, I suspect that OSD will then argue its standard position that "intolerable resource impact and administrative costs of changing directives" mitigate against implementation of Annex B. Accordingly, I recommend that efforts be accelerated to brief Annex B to the DCI and to carry the matter to the NFIE as soon as possible.	STAT
2. Another matter also surfaced during the same review of DCID 1/14. According to their Working Group representatives,	
Army, Navy and Air Force SCI authorities are using the "exception" clause in paragraph 6 of DCID 1/14 in such a way that literally thousands of cases are routinely approved each year by simply waiving DCID 1/14 standards. Further, after the investigation has been completed, little or no follow-up is accomplished to ascertain whether or not the subject who had been investigated actually met the standards. The problem has been intensified by the fact that the average length of time for a DIS investigation is now approaching 180 days. The service representatives contend that such practices are necessary to keep the number of "casuals" (i.e., personnel unable to work at their assigned jobs until given access to SCI), at an acceptably low level. While they may have a point, the consequences of such cavalier practices are to make a shambles of the DCID 1/14 standards.	STAT

SUBJECT: Investigative Standards Working Group Meeting of 26 February 1981

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee 1 - ES/SECOM